

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,389	01/23/2006	Yoshitaka Sugawara	03327.2340	1931
22852 FINNEGAN, H	7590 11/26/2007 IENDERSON, FARAB	BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER EXAMIN	INER	
LLP		WILLIAMS, ARUN C		S, ARUN C
	RK AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	*
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/565,389	SUGAWARA ET AL.			
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arun Williams	2838			
	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period w nded period for reply will, by statute, r than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHO ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timediated the second seco	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>23 Ja</u>	nuary 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL.	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are re	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are s	ubject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed o	n <u>23 <i>January</i> 2006</u> is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaratio	n is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
•	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
•						
<del></del> ·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detail	led Office action for a list	or the definied copies not receive	su.			
Attachment(s)		_	,			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/2006</u></li> </ol>	it(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I				

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

Art Unit: 2838

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. Claims 6-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). For examination purposes, claims 6-8 will be examined as depending on claim 1.

### **Drawings**

5. The drawings are objected to because the description of the components needs to be in English. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2838

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okado, USPAT 4,546,422.

As for claim 1-5, Okado discloses and shows in Fig. 8 an inverter apparatus having a three-phase inverter configured to include paired transistors (applicant's switching elements) (21-26) connected in a bridge configuration and to convert a power supply voltage (col.3, lines46-63); an correction signal generator (17-19) (inverter control portion) delaying a turn-on (Fig.  $11, t_{on}$ ) operation of each of said transistors (applicant's switching elements) and is generated within a predetermined time (length between  $t_{on}$  and  $t_{of}$ ) period since turn-off (Fig.  $11, t_{of}$ ) of said optional one of said switching elements (col.5, lines 42-49) wherein firmware (applicant's software) within the correction signal generator is implicit. Furthermore shows in Fig. 11, of a predetermined time after turn-off and turn-on.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2838

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okado in view of Sugawara, US2006/0186435.

Okado differs from the claimed invention because he does not explicitly disclose switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO, and wide-gap semiconductors diamond and GaN.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389

Art Unit: 2838

Sugawara discloses and shows in Figs.2&4 switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO(20), and wide-gap semiconductors diamond and GaN (51)(pg.7-8, par.[0091,0102,0142])

Sugawara is evidence that ordinary skill in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to use switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO, and wide-gap semiconductors diamond and GaN.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Okado by using switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO, and wide-gap semiconductors diamond and GaN for advantages such as providing low loss and controllable current with reliability(pg.1, par [0010], as taught by Sugawara.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-9765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thrus 6:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Akm Ullah can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun Williams Examiner Art Unit 2838

**AW** 

BAO Q. VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7